

Source: The Straits Times, pA33

Date: 5 March 2015



As Singapore's fertility rates continue to plunge while Europe starts to see a reversal, the Republic needs to decide if it is facing an issue of demographic security requiring the same kind of mindset shift which enabled NS to be implemented, despite the productivity loss and cost to the State. ST PHOTO: ALPHONSUS CHERN

Couples will have more children if the State funds a big increase in paid leave and ensures enough home-help services

## Europe's baby bump holds lessons for S'pore

By HO KWON PING FOR THE STRAITS TIMES

HE central issue about increasing Singapore's birth rate is whether we are prepared to take the perhaps radical steps which have enabled some developed Western countries to raise their birth rates from near terminal decline to more than re-placement levels. Whether such steps, which largely involve creat-ing a state-funded parental support ecosystem, are prohibitively expensive or a vital necessity, de-pends on whether we consider our birth rate to be a strategic imperative of the same priority as, say, national service, which is cer-

tainly not cheap either.

Ever since the mid-1960s when the Government launched a population control programme, our TFR, or total fertility rate, has been continually declining. For three decades, it has been below the replacement rate of 2.1 births per woman and, since 2003, a doz-en years ago, it has been less than

the years ago, it has been less than 1.3 births per woman.

We're hovering at the edge of the precipice, the so-called low-fertility trap, which is when a confluence of demographic, sociological and economic trends all converge and create a self-reinforcing unstannable spiral down forcing, unstoppable spiral down-wards. A slight uptick last year is encouraging news, but hardly a

A few years ago, our resident population already started to shrink, although it has not been noticeable to most people because of the influx of foreign workers. Arresting this trend will not be easy: One Institute of Policy Studies finding was that even with an increased TFR to, say, 1.8 births per woman, which is quite opti-mistic and 50 per cent higher than at present, the resident population will still start to decline in the next 15 to 20 years.

We would need to take in about 20,000 new citizens per year on a net basis – meaning that it has to be more in reality to offset those migrating out of Singa-pore – to stem the decline and achieve simple zero population growth. This is about the size of a Marine Parade town each year. It is not small. And with anti-immigration sentiments persisting, if at least not increasing, in-migra-tion cannot fill the population

Surge in birth rates

HOWEVER, the demographic future of Singapore need not be as dismal as statistics suggest, nor

should we be defeatist.

Birth rates in developed coun tries have somehow bottomed out and are starting to increase again. Demographers have discovered that when the Human Develop-

ment Index or HDI increases, fer-tility rates decline but reach a level where it becomes a J curve and starts to rise again. The HDI is a more holistic measure of develop-ment beyond simply economic wealth which, in my last lecture, I advocated that Singapore adopt. The negative correlation be-tween rising HDI and falling birth

tween rising HDI and falling birth rates has been observed for dec-ades and was once thought to be inevitable. After all, it was a trend with virtually every country ob-served – as lifestyles improve, par-ents want fewer children.

But the study, first published in the magazine Nature in 2009, found that at some point as the HDI continues to advance, fertility starts to rise again. Some Western developed countries such as France, Sweden and Norway have seen fertility actually climbing back to replacement levels after decades of continuing decline. In the United States, fertility briefly surged above replacement level and is now hovering around there. New Zealand's TFR is now at re-placement level. What has caused this reversal

in birth rates, and how can it be sustained? The prevailing theory is that this considerable and apparently sustained uptick in fertility rates is due to changing notions of gender roles within the family, work-life balance within careers, and government policies which support the ability of families to enjoy the natural happiness of rais-

ing children.
Studies in Europe have shown that before 1985, as more women went to work, couples have fewer children. Singapore's history corroborates this trend. But after 1985, the correlation reversed countries where more women worked started to gradually have higher birth rates than those where more women stayed home. This has noticeably not happened in Singapore, where birth rates have stayed stubbornly low. Other East Asian economies like Hong Kong and Taiwan have similar trends as Singapore.

Sociologists say the data suggests that countries which recog-nise through concrete policies that young families today want more children only if both parents undertake equal responsibility for child rearing, and that children are well taken care of while both parents continue to engage in their careers, will get a positive response from young parents.

In other words, there is no

need for campaigns to encourage people to have at least one and preferably two children, or to bribe them with cash grants to make more babies.

A two-child - or more - famiis a natural desire of parents, but they are not procreating because the overall support environ-ment is not conducive. Create a truly conducive environment and leave the rest to nature.

The State and fathers

IN FACT, there is a phenomenon in the behavioural sciences called motivation crowding theory, which when applied would mean that trying to use money to motivate what should be an intrinsic desire – that of having children – can have the perverse effect of re-versing the desired result instead. So, creating a suitable environ-ment (which cannot be monetised as easily) is much better than direct cash handouts for bearing

What might such an environment entail for us in Singapore? Well, such truly pro-family policies will not come cheap. Sweden grants each new parent two months of paid leave which can-not be transferred between each other, and another full year - 360 days - of leave which can be shared or transferred between themselves. Parents on leave are rnemseves. Parents on leave are paid 80 per cent of their monthly salary for 80 per cent of the total leave allowable, with a cap which is roughly \$6,500. The balance 20 per cent allowable leave is paid a lower flat rate.

One refinement is an interesting example of how family dynamics operate and how the state can nudge behaviour. Data showed that Swedish mothers used up on average 75 per cent of their total leave entitlement, but fathers on-ly 25 per cent or two months, and pressured their wives to take up

the majority of the shared leave.
This was resented and so the government - recognising who ultimately calls the shots when it comes to childbirth - will reduce the shared leave and give more to the father so that the pressure will be on them to use it or lose it. The State essentially helped mothers to nudge fathers to do their share of parenting – which was a key factor in convincing women

to have more children. This seems to have worked. Sweden's previously declining fertility rates have almost returned to replacement levels, and further refinements are likely to spur even higher growth. High-quality and inexpensive childcare facili-ties are also important and Sweden again leads in the provision of such services, even to the extent of having overnight centres for children of single parents who have to do shift work.

Sweden is just one example; other European countries pursuing the same policies have achieved similar results.

The takeaway for Singapore is

that if we want the same birth rates as in Europe, we should work harder to promote work-life integration and gender equality within the family, so that for wom-en, there is no trade-off between having a meaningful career and

enjoying motherhood.

The Singapore Government is well aware of the success of these burden countries, whose experts have visited Singapore and shared their experiences. But there seems to be either scepticism about the impact of long parental leave on fertility rates, or an unwillingness to confront the an unwillingness to confront the economic costs of such pro-grammes. Employers, already reeling under the current clampdown on foreign workers, will be ex-tremely unhappy about having to give a lot more paid leave to their child-bearing employees. But as our fertility rates contin-

ue to plunge while Europe starts to see a reversal, it behooves us to perhaps consider whether the strategic dangers of not stemming a population decline may actually outweigh the economic costs. We need to decisively conclude

whether we are facing an issue of demographic security requiring the same kind of mindset shift which enabled national service to be implemented, despite the loss of economic productivity as well as cost to the State.

as cost to the State.
Furthermore, we may have to change the entire support system for the young family, beyond just increasing paternity and maternity leave. An entire ecosystem of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) has to be created to un-dertake more of the work done by working parents. Liberalising the employment of domestic helpers will not necessarily help and there is even some evidence to suggest that it may be counterproductive. Young families with domestic maids have found themselves increasingly dependent on them to relieve their stress, but without in-creasing the intimacy of family life to encourage more children We need a network of SMEs to which much housework, family meal preparation, and many other household chores can be out-sourced. Reliable childcare facilities need to be more widespread, particularly in or near the work-place or home. Facilities and services serving the dependants of young working families - both the aged and the infants - will also go a long way to encourage Singapore families to want to enjoy having rather than being stressed by, more children.

But unless we recognise that our current policies are not work-ing and learn from other countries which have indeed achieved success, we will simply go into gen-teel decline and bemoan our fate while not doing much about it.

stopinion@sph.com.sg
The writer is the first Institute of Policy
Studies S R Nathan Fellow for the Study of Singapore. This essay is based on his fourth lecture on Singapore: The Next 50 Years – Demography And Family, which he delivered yesterday. Tomorrow, he will address retirement adequacy in a second essay from the lecture.